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**Milestones Supplemental Guide**

This document provides additional guidance and examples for the Laboratory Genetics and Genomics Milestones. This is not designed to indicate any specific requirements for each level, but to provide insight into the thinking of the Milestone Work Group.

Included in this document is the intent of each Milestone and examples of what a Clinical Competency Committee (CCC) might expect to be observed/assessed at each level. Also included are suggested assessment models and tools for each subcompetency, references, and other useful information.

Review this guide with the CCC and faculty members. As the program develops a shared mental model of the Milestones, consider creating an individualized guide (Supplemental Guide Template available) with institution/program-specific examples, assessment tools used by the program, and curricular components.

Additional tools and references, including the Milestones Guidebook, Clinical Competency Committee Guidebook, and Milestones Guidebook for Residents and Fellows, are available on the [Resources](http://Resources) page of the Milestones section of the ACGME website.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Patient Care 1: Pre-Analytic**  **Overall Intent:** To ensure the fellow can identify appropriate specimens for testing and methods of collection, storage, and transport | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Describes the importance of clinical history for optimal test selection*  *Identifies elements of a laboratory test request* | * Identifies patient sex/gender, age, family history, and clinical indication as important factors in guiding test selection * Identifies sample type, collection date, date of birth, referring provider, medical record number, and clinical indication(s) as important elements of a test request form |
| **Level 2** *Gathers pertinent elements of the clinical history to aid in test selection*  *Recognizes sources of pre-analytic error*  *Describes rationale behind existing routine laboratory workflows* | * Locates pertinent clinical information required for testing using the electronic health record (EHR) * Identifies that test results may be compromised if the specimen is collected in an inappropriate collection container * Recognizes that testing schedules can be modified to accommodate courier delays |
| **Level 3** *Recommends the optimal test option(s) based on clinical or family history, with assistance*  *Evaluates a specimen for pre-analytic errors, with assistance*  *Suggests modifications to existing laboratory workflows based on clinical need, with assistance* | * Recommends targeted *BRCA1* mutation testing for a patient where the familial variant is known * Recognizes that a referring laboratory frequently sends specimens of insufficient quantity and contacts send-out staff to discuss * Proposes a new workflow for short turnaround time (STAT) specimens * Suggests adding another testing batch to the weekly schedule to accommodate an increase in test volume |
| **Level 4** *Independently recommends the optimal test(s) based on clinical or family history*  *Independently evaluates a specimen for pre-analytic errors and identifies possible resolutions*  *Independently suggests modifications to existing laboratory workflows based on clinical need* | * Recommends targeted *BRCA1* mutation testing for a patient where the familial variant is known * Recognizes that a referring laboratory frequently sends samples of insufficiency quantity and, working with laboratory supervisor, contacts send-out staff to discuss * Suggests adding another testing batch to the weekly schedule to accommodate an increase in test volume |
| **Level 5** *Creates an algorithm for test selection based on clinical history*  *Creates a new protocol to assist the laboratory in the appraisal of specimen issues*  *Independently develops a new laboratory workflow* | * Creates an ordering algorithm in the EHR for familial *BRCA1* testing * Creates a Laboratory Information System alert for specimens received beyond the acceptable time window * Creates a visual aide for the accessioning staff to help them determine if a specimen was collected in the correct tube * Creates a new workflow to immediately notify the supervisor when a STAT specimen is received |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Laboratory Information System audit * Sample log * Send-out test audit * Simulation |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * American Board of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ABMGG). Learning guides. <http://www.abmgg.org/pages/program_learning.shtml>. Accessed 2019. * Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). CLSI eCLIPSE ultimate access. <http://clsi.edaptivedocs.biz/Login.aspx>. Accessed 2019. * College of American Pathologists (CAP). Checklists [www.cap.org](http://www.cap.org). Accessed 2019. * American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). Laboratory Standards and Guidelines. <https://www.acmg.net/>. Accessed 2019. * New York Department of Health (NYDOH). Laboratory standards. <https://www.wadsworth.org/regulatory/clep/clinical-labs/laboratory-standards>. Accessed 2019. * Molecular Diagnostics Textbooks, e.g., Molecular Diagnostics: Fundamentals, Methods, and Clinical Applications 3rd ed. by [Buckingham PhD MB DLM(ASCP), Lela](https://www.amazon.com/s/ref=dp_byline_sr_book_1?ie=UTF8&field-author=Buckingham+PhD++MB++DLM%28ASCP%29%2C+Lela&text=Buckingham+PhD++MB++DLM%28ASCP%29%2C+Lela&sort=relevancerank&search-alias=books) (Author) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Patient Care 2: Analytic**  **Overall Intent:** To understand the analytic components of the assays performed in the lab and demonstrate competency in analysis | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Describes basic principles for major assays performed in the laboratory*  *Describes quality control (QC) in the clinical laboratory* | * Discusses the underlying principles of polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and karyotype analysis * Identifies the need for appropriate positive and negative controls for PCR |
| **Level 2** *Performs assays, with substantial assistance*  *Identifies QC failures* | * Performs PCR following the approved standard operating procedures * Recognizes when quality control results are outside of established limits |
| **Level 3** *Performs assays, with minimal assistance*  *Explains possible sources of QC failures* | * Performs karyotype analysis following the standard operating procedures * Explains how contamination can be a source of QC failures * Explains how suboptimal probe hybridization is a source of QC failures |
| **Level 4** *Independently performs assays*  *Investigates QC failures and proposes resolution* | * Demonstrates competence in karyotype analysis * Identifies hybridization temperature as a source of QC failures and makes appropriate adjustments |
| **Level 5** *Develops new, alternate, or improved assay*  *Identifies and implements a new QC approach for a clinical test* | * Researches, designs, and implements a new cell enrichment protocol * Researches and implements an informatics QC in conjunction with the wet lab QC |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Lab-specific competency assessment * Trend reports |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * ABMGG Learning Guides * CAP checklists [www.cap.org](http://www.cap.org). Accessed 2019. * CLSI. <http://clsi.edaptivedocs.biz/Login.aspx>. Accessed 2019. * ACMG. Medical Genetics Practice Resources. <https://www.acmg.net/ACMG/Medical-Genetics-Practice-Resources/Practice_Resources/ACMG/Medical-Genetics-Practice-Resources/Medical-Genetics-Practice-Resources.aspx?hkey=d56a0de8-cfb0-4c6e-bf1e-ffb96e5f86aa>. Accessed 2019. * CPHG (Wiley publisher). <https://currentprotocols.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/19348258>. Accessed 2019. * AGT Cytogenetics Laboratory Manual (Wiley publisher). <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9781119061199>. Accessed 2019. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Patient Care 3: Post-Analytic Skills**  **Overall Intent:** To provide clinically significant interpretation of lab results to effectively guide patient care | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Identifies normal results*  *Discusses the importance of patient’s clinical history to test interpretation* | * Correctly identifies a normal male or female karyotype * Correctly identifies noncarrier for sickle cell anemia * Recognizes that a female karyotype for a male patient may not be due to a laboratory error |
| **Level 2** *Interprets simple results, with assistance*  *Gathers pertinent elements of the clinical history to aid in interpretation* | * Correctly identifies t(9;22) as a common finding in a patient with chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) * Correctly identifies a *BRCA1* missense variant on DNA sequencing in a patient with familial breast cancer * Recognizes that a female karyotype for a male patient may be due to transplant |
| **Level 3** *Interprets complex results, with assistance*  *Integrates results with the clinical history to develop a final interpretation, with assistance* | * Correctly identifies a *BRCA1* indel variant on DNA sequencing in a patient with familial breast cancer * Incorporates bone marrow biopsy findings with karyotype and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) results to diagnose acute myeloid leukemia (AML) |
| **Level 4** *Independently interprets results*  *Independently integrates results with the clinical history to develop a final interpretation* | * Reconciles discordant karyotype and FISH results that suggest a myeloid disease process with pathology results that suggest a lymphoid disease process |
| **Level 5** *Develops an improved result interpretation workflow*  *Identifies novel correlations between results and clinical history* | * Creates an enhancement in the bioinformatics pipeline for variant assessment * Publishes a unique gene or pathogenic variant association for intellectual disability |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Multisource feedback * Medical record (chart) audit * Report review |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * ABMGG. Training & Certification Learning Guides. <http://www.abmgg.org/pages/program_learning.shtml>. Accessed 2019. * Genetic Databases, e.g., <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/omim>. * Textbooks * ACMG. Technical Standards and Guidelines. <https://www.acmg.net/ACMG/Medical-Genetics-Practice-Resources/Technical_Standards_and_Guidelines.aspx>. Accessed 2019. * CAP checklists [www.cap.org](http://www.cap.org). Accessed 2019. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Patient Care 4: Reports**  **Overall Intent:** To generate effective clinical genetics reports for both simple and complex cases while using accurate terminology/nomenclature and providing appropriate recommendations | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Identifies the elements of a laboratory report*  *Identifies that reports can be revised* | * In a report identifies sample type, date of collection, test name, clinical indication, test results, and interpretation as key elements of a report * Identifies that a typographical error can be corrected after a report is finalized |
| **Level 2** *Drafts a report for simple cases using accurate terminology/ nomenclature, with assistance*  *Identifies when to correct, amend, or addend a report based on the type of alteration required* | * Drafts a report for normal chromosomal microarray (CMA) * Identifies that a wrong date of birth requires a corrected report * Identifies that a change in variant classification requires an amended report and possible recontacting of providers * Identifies that additional test results require an addended report |
| **Level 3** *Drafts a report for complex cases using accurate terminology/ nomenclature, with assistance*  *Drafts a revised report, with assistance* | * Drafts a report for CMA with both terminal copy number loss and terminal copy number gain that suggests a possible unbalanced translocation * Drafts an amended report for nomenclature changes * Drafts an addended report for additional test results |
| **Level 4** *Independently generates concise reports for complex cases*  *Independently generates a revised report* | * Generates a report for CMA with both terminal copy number loss and terminal copy number gain that suggests a possible unbalanced translocation and recommends additional testing * Generates an amended report that updates nomenclature * Generates an addended report to include results of additional testing |
| **Level 5** *Develops a new reporting template for original or revised reports* | * Develops a template to integrate the cytogenetic and molecular findings in complex cancer cases into a single interpretation * Creates an automated process for correcting reports |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Lab-specific competency assessment * Multisource feedback * Review of reports at sign-out |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * ABMGG. Training & Certification Learning Guides. <http://www.abmgg.org/pages/program_learning.shtml>. Accessed 2019. * CLSI. ECLIPSE. <http://clsi.edaptivedocs.biz/Login.aspx>. Accessed 2019. * CAP checklists [www.cap.org](http://www.cap.org). Accessed 2019. * ACMG. Technical Standards and Guidelines. <https://www.acmg.net/ACMG/Medical-Genetics-Practice-Resources/Technical_Standards_and_Guidelines.aspx>. Accessed 2019. * International System for Cytogenetic Nomenclature (ISCN) * Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Medical Knowledge 1: Foundations of Medical Genetics and Genomics**  **Overall Intent:** To progressively incorporate basic science knowledge into patient care | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Defines relevant medical terminology*  *Explains basic structure and function of the genome*  *Describes the basic mechanisms of germline and somatic disease development* | * Demonstrates understanding of most common clinical signs and symptoms of genetic conditions * Describes chromosome structure; also describes similarities and differences between DNA and RNA * Describes mitosis and meiosis * Describes Mendelian patterns of inheritance * Describes the two-hit hypothesis for cancer development |
| **Level 2** *Describes genetic conditions using accurate medical terminology*  *Identifies the basic genomic alterations underpinning abnormal lab results*  *Recognizes the pathogenic mechanisms for well-described conditions* | * Describes features of common microdeletion syndromes * Describes basic types of genetic findings, such as aneuploidies, structural variants, single nucleotide variants (SNV), copy number variations (CNV), and uniparental disomy * Explains non-Mendelian inheritance patterns * Explains haploinsufficiency |
| **Level 3** *With assistance, assimilates and integrates medical information to generate a differential diagnosis*  *Correlates genomic alterations and phenotypic information to guide laboratory testing and interpretation, with assistance*  *Applies understanding of pathogenic mechanisms to interpretation of test results, with assistance* | * Discusses the implications of consanguinity in a pedigree, the risk for autosomal recessive conditions, and laboratory test results that may suggest consanguinity * Recommends using methylation testing for Prader-Willi/Angelman syndrome (PWS/AS) versus FISH testing * Discusses the role of a Robertsonian translocation in familial Down syndrome |
| **Level 4** *Independently assimilates and integrates medical information to generate a differential diagnosis*  *Independently correlates genomic alterations and phenotypic information to guide laboratory testing and interpretation*  *Independently applies understanding of pathogenic mechanisms to interpretation of test results* | * Based on apparent X-linked inheritance, identifies Duchenne and Emery-Dreifuss syndrome in the differential diagnosis for a boy with muscular dystrophy * Describes the occurrence of SNVs or CNVs involving *ELN* and their laboratory interpretation * Recognizes the clinical urgency to identify t(15;17) in a patient with acute promyelocytic leukemia (APML) |
| **Level 5** *Mentors others in the process of integration of clinical and laboratory findings to generate a differential diagnosis*  *Presents a new clinical genomic/phenotypic correlation* | * Leads working groups with fellows/residents/graduate students to teach the process of developing a differential diagnosis * Presents work at national meeting(s) and/or publishes work in peer-reviewed journal(s) |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Didactic courses exams (if applicable) * Direct observation * Faculty and staff member evaluations * In-training exam |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * Gene Reviews. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1116/>. Accessed 2019. * ABMGG. Training & Certification Learning Guides. <http://www.abmgg.org/pages/program_learning.shtml>. Accessed 2019. * Textbooks |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Medical Knowledge 2: Testing**  **Overall Intent:** To understand how to critically evaluate test methodologies and the steps needed to design, assess, and validate a new laboratory test | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Defines the basic components of a test validation and verification*  *Describes various methodologies used in a clinical genetics laboratory* | * Defines sensitivity, specificity, positive/negative predictive values, and reproducibility * Identifies the procedures that require tissue culture and those that require nucleic acid extraction |
| **Level 2** *Describes methods and data used in establishing test performance characteristics for validation and verification*  *Describes the strengths and limitations of a genetic laboratory test* | * Identifies the need for appropriate known normal and abnormal specimens for determining the test reference range or precision * Explains why Sanger sequencing may miss deletions * Describes limitations for next-generation DNA sequencing |
| **Level 3** *Determines test performance characteristics, with assistance*  *Determines the optimal technologies and platforms for genetic tests, with assistance* | * Calculates sensitivity, specificity, positive/negative predictive value, and reproducibility * Identifies that a balanced rearrangement should be characterized by karyotyping rather than by CMA |
| **Level 4** *Independently calculates test performance characteristics*  *Independently determines the optimal technologies and platforms for genetic tests* | * Calculates sensitivity, specificity, positive/negative predictive value and reproducibility * Identifies that atypical BCR-ABL1 fusions may yield discrepant results across karyotype, FISH, and PCR |
| **Level 5** *Designs a test validation/verification and establishes QC metrics*  *Independently designs a new test for a genetic condition* | * Designs a test validation strategy for plasma to be an acceptable specimen for a laboratory test that is currently only being performed on fibroblasts * Designs a test to detect multiple partners of a frequently rearranged gene |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * In-training exam and/or in-house exam * Portfolio |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * Wiktor AE, Van Dyke DL, Stupca PJ, Ketterling RP, Thorland EC, Shearer B., Dewald GW. Preclinical validation of fluorescence in situ hybridization assays for clinical practice. *Genetics in Medicine*. 2006;8(1):16-23. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.gim.0000195645.00446.61>. Accessed 2019. * ACMG. Technical Standards and Guidelines. <https://www.acmg.net/ACMG/Medical-Genetics-Practice-Resources/Technical_Standards_and_Guidelines.aspx>. Accessed 2019. * CLSI. *Fluorescence in Situ Hydridization Methods for Clinical Laboratories; Approved Guideline.* 2nd ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2013. <https://clsi.org/media/1482/mm07a2_sample.pdf>. Accessed 2019. * Ciolino AL, Tang ME, Bryant R. Statistical treatment of fluorescence in situ hybridization validation data to generate normal reference ranges using excel functions. *J Mol Diagn*. 2009;11:330-333. <https://doi.org/10.2353/jmoldx.2009.080101>. Accessed 2019. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Systems-based Practice 1: Patient Safety and Quality Improvement (QI)**  **Overall Intent:** To engage in the analysis and management of patient safety events, including relevant communication with patients, families, and health care professionals/clients; to conduct a QI project | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Describes common patient safety events*  *Identifies that processes exist for reporting patient safety events*  *Demonstrates knowledge of QI concepts* | * Lists patient misidentification and compromised specimens as common patient safety events * Describes how to report, using the institutional reporting systems, that a patient’s sample was compromised * Describes fishbone tool, Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycle, measures of change (process, outcome), run charts, root cause analysis |
| **Level 2** *Identifies system factors that lead to patient safety events*  *Defines the institutional process of safety reporting systems*  *Describes laboratory QI initiatives* | * Recognizes that institutional courier delays may compromise specimen quality * Using the institutional reporting system for patient safety events, reports an event that patient’s sample was compromised * Examines existing processes/standard operating procedures to identify areas that can be improved to prevent mishandling of patient samples |
| **Level 3** *Participates in analysis of patient safety events (simulated or actual)*  *Given a safety-related concern, can describe the risk management process of disclosing such events to the appropriate individuals*  *Participates in laboratory QI initiatives* | * Participates in the preparation of a laboratory non-conformance report that includes patients’ risk assessment * Through simulation, communicates with provider/client about a misplaced sample and recommends remedy options * Participates in root cause analysis of a compromised patient sample |
| **Level 4** *Conducts analysis of patient safety events and offers error prevention strategies (simulated or actual)*  *Reports patient safety events (simulated or actual event)*  *Demonstrates the skills required to identify, develop, implement, and analyze a QI project* | * Collaborates with quality specialists or supervisor to conduct an analysis of a non-conformance event resulting in a compromised specimen, proposes a solution, and effectively communicates with provider/client about such event * Participates in a QI project to decrease specimen mishandling occurrence within the institution |
| **Level 5** *Actively engages teams and processes to modify systems to prevent patient safety events*  *Develops innovative protocols to detect and report safety events*  *Develops and assesses QI initiatives at the institutional or community level* | * Assumes a leadership role at the departmental or institutional level for patient safety * Conducts a simulation or internal mock challenge for early identification of patient safety risk * Initiates and completes a QI project to decrease the ordering of unnecessary tests in order to decrease health care costs |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Medical record (chart) audit * Multisource feedback * Portfolio * Self-reflection * Simulation |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * Institute of Healthcare Improvement <http://www.ihi.org/Pages/default.aspx>. Accessed 2019. * CMS. How to Use the Fishbone Tool for Root Cause Analysis. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare/provider-enrollment-and-certification/qapi/downloads/fishbonerevised.pdf>. Accessed 2019. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Systems-Based Practice 2: System Navigation for Patient-Centered Care**  **Overall Intent:** To effectively navigate the health care system, including the interdisciplinary team and other care providers, to adapt care to a specific patient population to ensure high-quality patient outcomes | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Identifies the importance of coordinating care*  *Explains the importance of effective transitions of care and hand-offs* | * Understands flow of lab information and responsibilities of specific staff members * Understands the laboratory and clinical service integration in order to effectively communicate client needs * Understands that when preparing to go on vacation, it is important to communicate details of pending cases to the covering laboratory fellow/staff and laboratory director |
| **Level 2** *Describes effective care coordination with the clinical and laboratory team*  *Describes examples of safe and effective transitions of care/hand-offs* | * Documents communication regarding ongoing cases when handing off pagers or ending a rotation * Describes the communication for a pending critical specimen/case |
| **Level 3** *Coordinates care with the clinical and laboratory team, with assistance*  *Performs safe and effective transitions of care/hand-offs* | * Communicates critical results directly to providers * Emails successor when rotating off service about an instrument problem and the steps taken to resolve |
| **Level 4** *Coordinates care with the clinical and laboratory team*  *Models and advocates for safe and effective transitions of care/hand-offs* | * Communicates critical results directly to providers * Coordinates multiple test requests on a single specimen * Prior to going on vacation, proactively prepares a plan and informs the covering fellow/resident/staff about pending tests for critical patients |
| **Level 5** *Improves quality of transitions of care within and across health care delivery systems to optimize patient outcomes* | * Develops a protocol for transitioning specimen/case status among laboratory fellows/residents/staff members |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Multisource feedback * Review of sign-out tools, use and review of checklists * Self-reflection |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * Laboratory standard operating procedures. * CAP checklists. [www.cap.org](http://www.cap.org). Accessed 2019. * Kaplan KJ. In pursuit of patient-centered care. 2016. <http://tissuepathology.com/2016/03/29/in-pursuit-of-patient-centered-care/#axzz5e7nSsAns>. Accessed 2019. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Systems-Based Practice 3: Laboratory Geneticist’s Role in Health Care System**  **Overall Intent:** To understand his/her role in the complex health care system and how to optimize the system to improve patient care and the health system’s performance | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Identifies key components of the health care system*  *Identifies basic types of medical reimbursement* | * Identifies systems and providers involved in test ordering and payment * Recognizes that samples collected in the intensive care unit (ICU) versus outpatient clinic may have different priorities * Demonstrates basic knowledge of how a laboratory is reimbursed for testing |
| **Level 2** *Describes how components of a health care system are interrelated, and how this impacts patient care*  *Identifies testing documentation related to billing and reimbursement*  *Describes the financial components related to the laboratory operation* | * Understands the impact of health plans on testing workflow and reimbursement * Identifies the importance of international classification of diseases (ICD)/common procedural technology (CPT) code for insurance billing * Explains the types of direct and indirect costs |
| **Level 3** *Collaborates with the other members of the health care system, with assistance*  *Identifies opportunities for cost-effective patient care*  *Identifies inter-relationship between fiscal responsibility and quality metrics in a lab (e.g., balancing staffing needs, test reagent needs, cost containment, and billing efficiency)* | * Contacts ordering provider when requisition is missing information or inappropriate tests are ordered * Reviews worksheets to identify cases of duplicate testing * Gathers information regarding usage of stock reagents to determine if volumes are too high (reagent expires before use leading to waste) |
| **Level 4** *Independently collaborates with the other members of the health care system*  *Practices cost-effective patient care*  *Independently drafts the assessment of a laboratory fiscal metric for director review* | * Contacts ordering provider to suggest alternate test for optimal patient care * Meets with other members of the health care team to improve testing algorithms for specific clinical indications * Gives an in-service presentation to the clinical team describing best test-ordering practices to optimize cost-effective care * Meet with vendors to determine if volume purchasing can result in a price discount * Evaluates trends in monthly test volumes |
| **Level 5** *Advocates for or leads systems change that enhances high-value, efficient, and effective patient care*  *Participates in a local or national committee related to fiscal issues in genetic testing* | * Works with EHR staff to create a pop-up box displaying a patient’s Cytochrome P450 2C9 (*CYP2C9*) alleles and dosing recommendations when ordering warfarin * Works with EHR staff to create a pop-up box to confirm an order that may be inappropriate based on patient’s age or time of last collection * Serves as a member of the laboratory utilization committee |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Medical record (chart) audit |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * The Kaiser Family Foundation: Topic: health reform <https://www.kff.org/topic/health-reform/>. Accessed 2019. * Dzau VJ, McClellan M, Burke S, et al. Vital directions for health and health care: priorities from a National Academy of Medicine Initiative. March 2016. <https://nam.edu/vital-directions-for-health-health-care-priorities-from-a-national-academy-of-medicine-initiative/>. Accessed 2019. * American Board of Internal Medicine. QI/PI activities. <http://www.abim.org/maintenance-of-certification/earning-points/practice-assessment.aspx>. Accessed 2019. * PLUGS * PharmGKB. <https://www.pharmgkb.org/>. Accessed 2019. * CPT and/or ICD Coding manuals |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Systems-Based Practice 4: Accreditation, Compliance, and Quality Management**  **Overall Intent:** To gain in-depth knowledge of the components of laboratory accreditation, regulatory compliance, and quality management | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Identifies laboratory accreditation and licensing agencies*  *Defines terminology related to laboratory quality* | * Describes the roles of College of American Pathologists (CAP) and Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) in clinical testing * Defines the terms quality management, quality assurance, quality control, quality improvement, and proficiency testing |
| **Level 2** *Describes the accreditation process, its requirements and necessary documentation*  *Interprets quality data and charts and trends, including proficiency testing results, with assistance* | * Describes regulatory requirements and compliance * Assesses trends of harvest failure or growth failure cytogenetic/molecular testing * Evaluates daily instrument quality control and proficiency testing result summaries |
| **Level 3** *Participates in review of laboratory practice to assure compliance with accreditation requirements*  *Independently evaluates quality indicators, including proficiency testing results* | * Participates in departmental quality assurance/quality control meetings, conferences, and accreditation/regulatory summation meetings * Assesses harvest failure or growth failure for cytogenetic/molecular testing * Evaluates monthly and daily instrument quality control and proficiency testing reports |
| **Level 4** *Actively participates in the laboratory self-inspection*  *Formulates a response for a proficiency test failure (actual or simulated)* | * Performs mock or self-inspections using a CAP checklist * Assists in developing a strategy for handling quality control or proficiency testing failures |
| **Level 5** *Participates in the inspection of an external laboratory*  *Reviews the quality management plan to identify areas for improvements* | * Participates an inspection of another laboratory * Serves on a committee for a regional or national accreditation agency * Identifies alternate assessment options for laboratory proficiency testing |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Audit of proficiency testing response draft * Audit of quality control reviews * Direct observation * Documentation of inspector training and participation in fellow portfolio * Planning and completion of quality improvement projects * Presentation reviews * Multisource feedback |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * ABMGG. Training & Certification Learning Guides. <http://www.abmgg.org/pages/program_learning.shtml>. Accessed 2019. * CLSI. <http://clsi.edaptivedocs.biz/Login.aspx>. Accessed 2019. * CAP checklists. [www.cap.org](http://www.cap.org). Accessed 2019. * ACMG. Technical Standards and Guidelines. <https://www.acmg.net/ACMG/Medical-Genetics-Practice-Resources/Technical_Standards_and_Guidelines.aspx>. Accessed 2019. * CMS. CLIA. <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Legislation/CLIA/index.html?redirect=/CLIA/05_CLIA_Brochures.asp>. Accessed 2019. * CDC. Good Laboratory Practices for Biochemical Genetic Testing and Newborn Screening for Inherited Metabolic Disorders. <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/rr/rr6102.pdf>. Accessed 2019. * CAP. Inspector Training. <https://www.cap.org/laboratory-improvement/accreditation/inspector-training>. Accessed 2019. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Systems-Based Practice 5: Informatics**  **Overall Intent:** To be able to collect, manage, use, and share data and information to support the delivery of accurate, high-quality health care and promote optimal patient outcomes | | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Demonstrates familiarity with basic technical concepts of hardware, operating systems, databases, and software for general purpose applications*  *Identifies the various resources including software, tools and databases used for classification of variants* | * Logs into institutional systems * Locates the most common web-based genomics resources | |
| **Level 2** *Identifies laboratory specific software, key technical concepts, interfaces, workflow, barcode application, and automation systems (enterprise systems architecture)*  *Uses resources to classify variants in the context of the phenotype, with assistance* | * Describes laboratory information systems and other interfaced systems and their roles in laboratory operations and health care delivery * Uses ACMG guideline, ClinGen (<https://clinicalgenome.org/>) or ClinVar to classify variants | |
| **Level 3** *Discusses laboratory initiatives based on informatics (system implementation and configuration)*  *Independently uses resources to perform routine variant classification using professional standards and guidelines* | * Explains the role and responsibility of laboratory geneticists with regard to selection, oversight, and use of informatics systems in the laboratory * Classifies a *CFTR* variant according to current professional standards and guidelines | |
| **Level 4** *Applies informatics tools as needed in laboratory initiatives (e.g., data management and security, computational statistics, information governance)*  *Independently uses resources to reclassify ambiguous variants* | * Uses computational statistics to identify turnaround time outliers * Retrospectively reviews large data set to confirm/establish reference ranges * Uses available resources to reclassify a previously ambiguous *CFTR* variant | |
| **Level 5** *Proposes medical informatics improvements for the operation of the laboratory*  *Contributes to the knowledge base for the refinement of ambiguous test results and variant classifications* | * Identifies and resolves issues, potential problems, and challenges in EHR handling of genetic test results * Participates on a national level to create standards and guidelines for variant classification * Publishes work on test result classification in a peer-reviewed journal | |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation: how residents reflect their knowledge of laboratory information systems components in the health care system in the care of patients * Portfolio of completed projects * Publication and presentation record * Training on clinical genetic data analysis software systems | |
| Curriculum Mapping |  | |
| Notes or Resources | * UCSC. Genome browser. <https://genome.ucsc.edu/training/>. Accessed 2019. * ClinGen. Training Modules. <https://clinicalgenome.org/curation-activities/variant-pathogenicity/training-materials/>. Accessed 2019. * ACMG. Technical Standards and Guidelines. <https://www.acmg.net/ACMG/Medical-Genetics-Practice-Resources/Technical_Standards_and_Guidelines.aspx>. Accessed 2019. | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Practice-Based Learning and Improvement 1: Evidence-Based and Scholarship**  **Overall Intent:** To incorporate evidence into clinical practice | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Demonstrates how to access and select applicable evidence* | * Accesses ACMG Laboratory Standards and Guidelines * Performs a search on PubMed to address a clinical question |
| **Level 2** *Identifies and applies the best available evidence and/or clinical laboratory standards/guidelines to guide diagnostic evaluation of simple cases* | * Applies ACMG guidelines for analyzing the correct number of cells and using appropriate cell culture conditions |
| **Level 3** *Identifies and applies the best available evidence and/or clinical laboratory standards/guidelines to guide diagnostic evaluation of complex cases* | * Uses ClinVar or other databases to guide results interpretation |
| **Level 4** *Critically appraises and applies evidence to guide lab-based recommendations, even in the face of conflicting data* | * Assesses the primary literature to identify genotype-phenotype correlations or conditions associated with variable expressivity/reduced penetrance to guide the need for reflex or parental testing and to aid in the interpretation of a result |
| **Level 5** *Mentors others to critically appraise and apply evidence for complex cases; and/or participates in the development of laboratory standards/guidelines* | * Leads teaching on application of ACMG guidelines in the interpretation of variants of uncertain significance |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Oral or written examinations * Presentation evaluation * Review of drafted reports * Scholarly portfolio |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * US National Library of Medicine. PubMed Tutorial. <https://www.nlm.nih.gov/bsd/disted/pubmedtutorial/cover.html>. Accessed 2019. * ClinGen. <https://clinicalgenome.org/>. Accessed 2019. * ACMG. Technical Standards and Guidelines. [https://www.acmg.net/ACMG/Medical-Genetics-Practice-Resources/Technical\_Standards\_and\_Guidelines.aspx. Accessed 2019](https://www.acmg.net/ACMG/Medical-Genetics-Practice-Resources/Technical_Standards_and_Guidelines.aspx.%20Accessed%202019). |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Practice-Based Learning and Improvement 2: Reflective Practice and Commitment to Personal Growth**  **Overall Intent:** To seek clinical performance information with the intent to improve care; to reflect on all domains of practice, personal interactions, and behaviors, and their impact on colleagues and patients (reflective mindfulness); to develop clear objectives and goals for improvement in some form of a learning plan | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Realizes responsibility for personal and professional development by establishing goals*  *Identifies the gap(s) between expectations and actual performance*  *Actively seeks opportunities to improve* | * Adopts the ACGME Milestones as personal study guide to perform periodic self-assessment in one or multiple areas * Uses ABMGG Learning Guides to identify gaps in knowledge * Identifies mentors for personal and career development * Asks for input from program director |
| **Level 2** *Demonstrates willingness to receiving performance data and feedback in order to inform goals*  *Analyzes and reflects on the factors which contribute to gap(s) between expectations and actual performance*  *Designs and implements a learning plan, with assistance* | * Identifies areas for improvement using the ACGME Milestones when performing periodic self-assessment in one or multiple areas * Assesses time management skills to achieve competency in a laboratory process * Works with mentors to create or adapt a career development plan and to seek additional professional and personal growth opportunities * When prompted, develops individual learning plan to improve skills in variant classification |
| **Level 3** *Seeks performance data and feedback with respect*  *Institutes behavioral change(s) to narrow the gap(s) between expectations and actual performance*  *Independently creates and implements a learning plan* | * Performs a monthly review of learner’s case interpretations with the program director * Executes plans for improvement in weak areas/gaps identified when using the ACGME Milestones or ABMGG Learning Guides to perform periodic self-assessment * Executes their career development plan with mentor(s) and self-monitors progress periodically |
| **Level 4** *Models appropriate and thoughtful seeking and consideration of feedback*  *Critically evaluates the effectiveness of behavioral changes in narrowing the gap(s) between expectations and actual performance*  *Uses performance data to measure the effectiveness of the learning plan and improves it when necessary* | * Establishes a monthly review of learner’s case interpretations with the program director and faculty members, and encourages others to do the same * Seeks additional rotation(s) in weak areas/gaps identified when using the ACGME Milestones and ABMGG Learning Guides * Uses in-training exam scores and monthly case reviews to ensure readiness for independent practice |
| **Level 5** *Coaches others in personal and professional development*  *Facilitates the design and implementation of learning plans for others* | * Coaches first-year fellows in how to use the ABMGG Learning Guides * Assists first-year fellows in developing their individualized learning plans |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Multisource feedback * Review of learning plan * Self-reflection |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * [Hojat M](https://www-ncbi-nlm-nih-gov.ezproxy.libraries.wright.edu/pubmed/?term=Hojat%20M%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=19638773), [Veloski JJ](https://www-ncbi-nlm-nih-gov.ezproxy.libraries.wright.edu/pubmed/?term=Veloski%20JJ%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=19638773), [Gonnella JS](https://www-ncbi-nlm-nih-gov.ezproxy.libraries.wright.edu/pubmed/?term=Gonnella%20JS%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=19638773). Measurement and correlates of physicians' lifelong learning. *Acad Med.* 2009;84(8):1066-74. *Contains a validated questionnaire about physician lifelong learning.* * Burke AE, Benson B, Englander R, Carraccio C, Hicks PJ. Domain of competence: practice-based learning and improvement. *Acad Pediatr.* 2014;14:S38-S54. * American Board of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ABMGG). Learning guides. <http://www.abmgg.org/pages/program_learning.shtml>. Accessed 2019. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Professionalism 1: Professional Behavior and Ethical Principles**  **Overall Intent:** To recognize and address lapses in ethical and professional behavior, demonstrate ethical and professional behaviors, and use appropriate resources for managing ethical and professional dilemmas | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Demonstrates knowledge of the ethical principles underlying laboratory testing*  *Describes how to report professionalism lapses, including strategies for addressing common barriers* | * Understands that being tired can contribute to lapses in professionalism * Understands that being late can have an adverse effect on patient care and on professional relationships * Articulates how the principle of “do no harm” applies to laboratory testing |
| **Level 2** *Analyzes straightforward situations using ethical principles*  *Demonstrates insight into professional behavior in routine situations; takes responsibility for own professionalism lapses* | * + - Refrains from discussing a case when in public places * Notifies appropriate supervisor when another learner appears to be impaired |
| **Level 3** *Recognizes the need for and uses appropriate resources to seek solutions in managing and resolving complex ethical situations*  *Demonstrates professional behavior in complex or stressful situations* | * After noticing a colleague’s inappropriate social media post, reviews policies related to posting of content and seeks guidance * Remains calm when confronted by a provider who is upset or frustrated |
| **Level 4** *Manages complex ethical situations*  *Recognizes situations that may trigger professionalism lapses and intervenes to prevent lapses in self and others* | * Models respect for patients and promotes the same from colleagues when lab errors are identified and required correction * When observing a faculty member being aggressive towards learners, identifies institutional resources for reporting and intervenes on the learner’s behalf |
| **Level 5** *Identifies and seeks to address system-level factors that introduce or exacerbate ethical problems or impede their resolution*  *Coaches others when their behavior fails to meet professional expectations* | * Coaches others when their behavior fails to meet professional expectations and creates a performance improvement plan to prevent recurrence * Engages laboratory staff to address delayed turnaround time to decrease patient and provider frustrations * Creates a mini-course for laboratory staff members to address customer service concerns |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Global evaluation * Multisource feedback * Oral or written self-reflection * Simulation |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * American Medical Association Code of Ethics. <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/ama-code-medical-ethics>. Accessed 2019. * Byyny RL, Papadakis MA, Paauw DS, Pfiel S, Alpha Omega Alpha. *Medical Professionalism Best Practices*. Menlo Park, CA: Alpha Omega Alpha Honor Medical Society; 2015. <https://alphaomegaalpha.org/pdfs/2015MedicalProfessionalism.pdf>. Accessed 2019. * Levinson W, Ginsburg S, Hafferty FW, Lucey CR. *Understanding Medical Professionalism*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Education; 2014. <https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/book.aspx?bookID=1058>. Accessed 2019. * Domen RE, Johnson K, Conran RM, et al. Professionalism in pathology: a case-based approach as a potential education tool. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2017;141:215-219. <https://doi.org/10.5858/arpa.2016-2017-CP>. Accessed 2019. * Bynny RL, Paauw DS, Papadakis MA, Pfeil S. *Medical Professionalism Best Practices: Professionalism in the Modern Era*. Menlo Park, CA: Alpha Omega Alpha Honor Medical Society; 2017. <http://alphaomegaalpha.org/pdfs/Monograph2018.pdf>. Accessed 2019. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Professionalism 2: Accountability and Conscientiousness**  **Overall Intent:** To take responsibility for one’s own actions and the impact on patients and other members of the health care team | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Responds promptly to instructions, requests, or reminders to complete tasks and responsibilities* | * Has timely attendance at laboratory meetings, grand rounds and clinical conferences * Completes administrative tasks, safety training documentation, and procedure review by specified due date |
| **Level 2** *Takes ownership and performs tasks and responsibilities in a timely manner* | * Completes evaluations by specified due date * Completes assigned tasks before taking scheduled leave |
| **Level 3** *Anticipates situations that may impact own ability to meet responsibilities and describes the impact on team* | * Notifies director of errors, testing delays, complex results that require more time * Asks for assistance from director, lab staff members, or faculty members as needed * Arranges coverage for assigned tasks and notifies appropriate individuals in preparation for scheduled leave |
| **Level 4** *Shares responsibility for system outcomes as a member of the team* | * Takes responsibility for inadvertently omitting key diagnostic information from a report |
| **Level 5** *Designs new strategies to ensure that the needs of patients, teams, and systems are met* | * After soliciting input from providers, designs a testing algorithm for a specific disease |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Compliance with deadlines and timelines * Direct observation * Global evaluations * Multisource feedback including co-workers, supervisors, and other health providers * Self-evaluations * Self-reflection |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * ABMGG Professionalism Guidelines * ACMG Code of Conduct * Code of conduct from fellow/resident institutional manual * Expectations of fellowship program regarding accountability and professionalism |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Professionalism 3: Personal and Professional Well-Being**  **Overall Intent:** To identify, use, manage, improve, and seek help for personal and professional well-being for self and others | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Describes common indicators of personal or professional well-being* | * With assistance, acknowledges own response to patient’s fatal genetic diagnosis * Recognizes the need for time away from work for personal care |
| **Level 2** *Independently recognizes status of personal and professional well-being and seeks help when needed* | * Independently identifies and communicates impact of a personal family tragedy on one’s ability to be productive * Recognizes a pattern of agitation and works to handle appropriately |
| **Level 3** *Proposes a plan to optimize personal and professional well-being* | * With a mentor, develops a reflective response to deal with personal impact of difficult cases and disclosures of abnormal results * Proposes to start a book club for members of the laboratory team |
| **Level 4** *Implements a plan to optimize personal and professional well-being* | * Independently identifies and implements ways to manage personal stress * Starts a book club for members of the laboratory team |
| **Level 5** *Coaches others to develop and implement plans to optimize personal and professional well-being* | * Assists in organizational efforts to address laboratorian well-being after an adverse patient outcome resulting from a laboratory error * Helps junior fellows organize and implement a stress-relieving activity |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Group interview or discussions for team activities * Individual interview * Institutional online training modules * Self-assessment and personal learning plan |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * Local resources, including Employee Assistance, HR * ACGME. Tools and Resources. <https://www.acgme.org/What-We-Do/Initiatives/Physician-Well-Being/Resources>. Accessed 2019. * AAMC. Wellness. <https://www.aamc.org/news-insights/wellbeing/faculty>. Accessed 2019. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Interpersonal and Communication Skills 1: Patient- and Family-Centered Communication**  **Overall Intent:** To effectively communicate with the patient and family, to identify communication barriers including personal biases, and to organize and lead communication around shared decision making | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Identifies common barriers to effective communication*  *Identifies the role of the laboratory in communicating results to patients* | * Identifies that language (verbal and also nonverbal cues) can be a barrier to effective communication * Understands that laboratory reports may be viewed by patients * Describes institutional policies and procedures for laboratory communication to patients |
| **Level 2** *Identifies complex barriers to effective communication*  *Uses nonverbal behavior to demonstrate respect and establish rapport while observing in the clinical setting and can identify factors that could make a clinical situation psychosocially complex* | * Identifies genetic literacy of patients as a barrier to communication * Recognizes the benefit of diagrams and pictures to communicate information * Remains attentive during a clinical encounter rather than focusing on electronic devices * Arrives on time and remains present for the entire patient encounter |
| **Level 3** *With prompting, reflects on personal biases and can identify barriers in written language that may challenge understanding by patients and families*  *Uses nonverbal behavior to demonstrate respect and establish rapport while observing a complex result disclosure by a clinician* | * Reflects on one’s personal bias against pregnancy termination and describes its potential influence on communicating prenatal results to patients and families * Maintains eye contact and speaks directly to patients with intellectual disabilities |
| **Level 4** *Communicates the content of a report or educational materials in a way that is accessible to patients and families*  *Orally communicates simple results to families in a concise manner, under the supervision of an attending clinician* | * Creates an educational brochure to explain the different types of DNA variants found by molecular testing * Explains an abnormal karyotype result for a patient with newly diagnosed Trisomy 21 |
| **Level 5** *Develops systems to communicate laboratory information in a way that is accessible to patients and families*  *Independently orally communicates laboratory results with relevant information to providers* | * Serves on an institutional ethics committee * Collaborates on enhancements to the patient portal to provide educational resources on laboratory test interpretation |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Kalamazoo Essential Elements Communication Checklist (Adapted) * Objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) * Self-assessment including self-reflection exercises * Skills needed to set the state, Elicit information, Give information, Understand the patient, and End the encounter (SEGUE) * Standardized patients |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * Laidlaw A, Hart J. Communication skills: an essential component of medical curricula. Part I: Assessment of clinical communication: AMEE Guide No. 51. *Med Teach*. 2011;33(1):6-8. * Makoul G. Essential elements of communication in medical encounters: The Kalamazoo consensus statement. *Acad Med*. 2001;76:390-393. * Makoul G. The SEGUE Framework for teaching and assessing communication skills. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2001;45(1):23-34. * Symons AB, Swanson A, McGuigan D, Orrange S, Akl EA. A tool for self-assessment of communication skills and professionalism in fellows. *BMC Med Educ*. 2009;9:1. * Skotko BG, Capone GT, Kishnani PS, Postnatal Diagnosis of Down Syndrome: Synthesis of the Evidence on How Best to Deliver the News. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(4):e751-8. doi:10.1542/peds.2009-0480. * Skotko BG, Kishnani PS, Capone GT, Prenatal diagnosis of Down syndrome: how best to deliver the news. *Am J Med Genet A*. 2009;149A(11):2361-7. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Interpersonal and Communication Skills 2: Interprofessional and Team Communication**  **Overall Intent:** To effectively communicate with the health care and clinical laboratory team in both straightforward and complex situations | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Uses language that values all members of the health care team, including clinical and laboratory professionals*  *Describes the utility of constructive feedback* | * Acknowledges the contribution of each member of the laboratory team * Identifies that constructive feedback benefits the entire team |
| **Level 2** *Adapts communication style to fit team needs*  *Solicits feedback on personal performance as a member of the laboratory team* | * Communicates diagnostic evaluation results clearly and concisely in an organized and timely manner * Asks the laboratory team how they can improve explanation of test results * Asks senior fellow how to improve timeliness for completion of assay |
| **Level 3** *Communicates information effectively with all health care team members, including clinical and laboratory professionals, with assistance*  *Integrates feedback from team members to improve own communication and other skills* | * After a test has been interpreted, communicates with the primary care team to verify they have received and understand the results * Shares information gained regarding the request for a STAT result with the lab team and confirms reporting of that result in a rapid manner * Shares concerns that an instrument is not working properly with the laboratory supervisor |
| **Level 4** *Independently communicates information effectively with all health care team members, including clinical and laboratory professionals*  *Facilitates regular health care team-based communications and feedback in complex situations* | * Explains rationale for chromosome analysis instead of chromosome microarray analysis as an initial diagnostic test for suspected Down syndrome * Asks other members of the health care team to repeat back recommendations to ensure understanding * Participates in multidisciplinary meetings to discuss triage strategy for bone marrow specimens having multiple genetic tests |
| **Level 5** *Models flexible communication strategies that value input from all health care team members, resolving conflict when needed* | * Mediates a conflict resolution between different members of the laboratory team |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Multisource feedback * Self-reflection * Simulation |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * Roth CG, Eldin KW, Padmanabhan V, Freidman EM. Twelve tips for the introduction of emotional intelligence in medical education. *Med Teach*. 2018;21:1-4. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2018.1481499>. Accessed 2019. * Green M, Parrott T, Cook G. Improving your communication skills. *BMJ*. 2012;344:e357. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e357>. Accessed 2019. * Henry SG, Holmboe ES, Frankel RM. Evidence-based competencies for improving communication skills in graduate medical education: a review with suggestions for implementation. *Med Teach*. 2013;35(5):395-403. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2013.769677>. Accessed 2019. * Dehon E, Simpson K, Fowler D, Jones A. Development of the faculty 360. *MedEdPORTAL*. 2015;11:10174. <http://doi.org/10.15766/mep_2374-8265.10174>. Accessed 2019. * Lane JL, Gottlieb RP. Structured clinical observations: a method to teach clinical skills with limited time and financial resources. *Pediatrics*. 2000;105:973-7. <https://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/pediatrics/105/Supplement_3/973.full.pdf>. Accessed 2019. * Braddock CH, Edwards KA, Hasenberg NM, Laidley TL, Levinson W. Informed decision making in outpatient practice: time to get back to basics. *JAMA*. 1999;282:2313-2320. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.282.24.2313>. Accessed 2019. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Interpersonal and Communication Skills 3: Communication within Health Care Systems**  **Overall Intent:** To ensure the fellow effectively communicates using a variety of modalities | |
| **Milestones** | **Examples** |
| **Level 1** *Protects patient personal health information by following institutional policies*  *Identifies institutional and departmental procedures for communication of issues* | * Shreds patient list after case conference * Actively logs off the computer and keeps protected health information (written or electronic) locked at all times * Identifies the location of the on-call schedule and emergency contact numbers * Is able to find written policies in binders, computers, intranet or any institutional resources |
| **Level 2** *Selects content, recipient, and communication methods based on context and clinical urgency, with guidance*  *Uses institutional structure to effectively communicate clear and constructive suggestions, with assistance* | * Identifies that provider should be called or paged immediately when a critical result is identified * Knows the chain of command and escalating procedures * Contacts the service representative about an instrument malfunction |
| **Level 3** *Effectively and securely communicates clinical information, with guidance*  *Uses institutional structure to effectively communicate clear and constructive suggestions* | * Contacts provider when a critical result is identified * Knows when to direct concerns locally, departmentally, or institutionally via appropriate escalation |
| **Level 4** *Independently communicates clinical information*  *Initiates conversations on difficult subjects with*  *appropriate stakeholders to improve the system* | * Immediately contacts provider when a critical results is identified and appropriately documents the communication * Organizes a discussion about the inappropriate release of pharmacogenetics testing results in the EHR |
| **Level 5** *Models effective communication of clinical information*  *Facilitates dialogue regarding systems issues among larger community stakeholders* | * Develops a simulation project to improve communication skills between junior fellow and ordering providers * Develops an electronic barrier so that only authorized providers can review sensitive test results |
| Assessment Models or Tools | * Direct observation * Medical record (chart) audit * Multisource feedback * Simulation |
| Curriculum Mapping |  |
| Notes or Resources | * Institutional policies and procedures * Bierman JA, Hufmeyer KK, Liss DT, Weaver AC, Heiman HL. Promoting responsible electronic documentation: validity evidence for a checklist to assess progress notes in the electronic health record. *Teach Learn Med.* 2017;29(4):420-432. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10401334.2017.1303385>. Accessed 2019. |